## Leisure, sports, arts, cultural and heritage facilities

Leisure, sports, arts, cultural and heritage facilities								
<b>Option</b> What is needed to address community priorities?	<b>Evidence</b> Is there evidence from the survey supporting the proposal?	<b>NP Issue</b> Is it a land use or planning issue?	<b>Conformity</b> Does the option conform to local or national planning policy?	<b>Practicality</b> How feasible is the option, how likely is it to be delivered?	<b>Comments</b> Any other comments	Flag Acceptable Possibly acceptable Not- accetable		
A Gym and fitness facilities	77% of respondents supported improved leisure facilities.	Yes - Land or site allocated, infrastructure provision.	Yes - NPPF Ch.8 and WC Core Strategy Policy 33	Market-led, private investment,dependent upon deamnd. Unlikely to receive public funding.				
Swimming Pool	77% of respondents supported improved leisure facilities.	Yes - Land or site allocated, infrastructure provision.	Yes - NPPF Ch.8 and WC Core Strategy Policy 33	Market-led, private investment,dependent upon deamnd. Unlikely to receive public funding.				
Activities and facilities for young people (see young people section)	84% of respondents supported provision of facilities for young people	Yes - Land or site allocated, infrastructure provision.	Yes - NPPF Ch.8 and WC Core Strategy Policy 33	Market-led, private investment,dependent upon deamnd. Unlikely to receive public funding.				
Improved safe walking routes, signage and guides	88% supported improvments	Yes - Linked to new development - Section 106 funding.	NPPF Para 98 and WC Core Strategy Policy 60 and 52	Community-led, low investment				
Improved safe cycle routes, signage and guides	81% supported improvements	Yes - Linked to new development - Section 106 funding.	Yes - NPPF Ch.9 and WC Core Strategy Policy 60 and 52	High investment, WC led.				
Cinema Club	77% of respondents supported improved leisure facilities.	No	Yes - NPPF Ch.8 and WC Core Strategy Policy 33	Agreement from Pavilion or Micheal Herbert Hall owners				
Nature Trail / Mountain Bike Trail	77% of respondents supported improved leisure facilities.	Yes - Linked to new development - Section 106 funding.	Yes - NPPF Ch.8 and WC Core Strategy Policy 33	Is there the space?				
Salisbury to Wilton cycle path link	77% of respondents supported improved leisure facilities.	Yes - Linked to new development - Section 106 funding.	Yes - NPPF Ch.8 and WC Core Strategy Policy 33	Section 106 funding will be inadequate to fund full length.				
Museum and heritage centre	62% supported improved heritage and cultural facilities.	Land or site allocated, infrastructure provision.	NPPF Ch.8 and WC Core Strategy Policy 33	High Investment, public sector- led project, possibly a combined heritage, arts, library and community hub				
Arts and cultural facility	60% supported improved cultural and cultural facilities.	Land or site allocated, infrastructure provision.	NPPF Ch.8 and WC Core Strategy Policy 33	High Investment, public sector- led project, possibly a combined heritage, arts, library and community hub				
Improved pedestrian links across Town	60% supported improved arts and cultural facilities.	Linked to new development - Section 106 funding.	NPPF Ch.9 and WC Core Strategy Policy 52 and 61.	Developer-led - planning conditions and S106 funding				

Improved Library facilities	No specific evidence in the	Land or site allocated,	NPPF Ch.8 and WC Core	High Investment, public sector-	
	survey - needs to be tested	infrastructure provision.	Strategy Policy 33	led project, possibly a combined	
	through later consultation.			heritage, arts, library and	
				community hub	
4G/5G sports pitch	62% of respondents supported	Land or site allocated,	NPPF Ch.8 and WC Core	High investment public sector	
	the improvmenet of sports	infrastructure provision, S106	Strategy Policy 33	led project.	
	facilities.	funding.			
Improved tennis facilities -	62% of respondents supported	S106 funding	NPPF Ch.8 and WC Core	Town Council-led project, using	
shelter and floodlights	the improvmenet of sports		Strategy Policy 33	existing S106 funding.	
	facilities.				

Improved connection between	88% supported safer pedestrian	Linked to new development -	NPPF Ch.9 and WC Core	Developer-led - planning	
North Street and Shopping	routes around the Town	Conditions and or Section 106	Strategy Policy 52 and 61.	conditions and S106 funding	
Village		funding (Naish Felts).			
A36 Footbridge or safe crossing	88% supported safer pedestrian	Linked to new development -	NPPF Ch.9 and WC Core	Developer-led - planning	
point	routes around the Town	Section 106 funding.	Strategy Policy 52 and 61.	conditions and S106 funding	
Safe crossing point in Minster	88% supported safer pedestrian		NPPF Ch.9 and WC Core	Developer-led - planning	
Street	routes around the Town		Strategy Policy 52 and 61.	conditions and S106 funding	
More allotments, community	54% supported provision of	Land or site allocated and	NPPF Ch.8c and WC Core	Town Council-led project, using	
gardens/farm	more allotments or community growing spaces	infrastructure provision	Strategy Policy 51	existing S106 funding.	
Greater diversity of leisure offer	87% supported greater diversity	Policies to encourage retention	NPPF Ch.8 and WC Core	Market-led, private	
in the centre - restaurants, bars,	in the Town Centre leisure and	of commercial units and change	Strategy Policy 35	investment, dependent upon	
etc.	restail offer	of existing uses.		deamnd. Unlikely to receive	
				public funding.	
A richer retail offer.	87% supported greater diversity	Policies to encourage retention	NPPF Ch.8 and WC Core	Market-led, private	
	in the Town Centre leisure and	of commercial units and change	Strategy Policy 35	investment,dependent upon	
	restail offer	of existing uses.		deamnd. Unlikely to receive	
				public funding.	

### Elooding and drainage

Option	Evidence	NP Issue	Conformity	Practicality	Comments	Flag
What is needed to address community priorities?	Is there evidence from the survey supporting the proposal?	Is it a land use or planning issue?	Does the option conform to local or national planning policy?	How feasible is the option, how likely is it to be delivered?	Any other comments	Acceptable Possibly acceptable Not- accetable
Permanent flood defences at Crow Lane	85% of respondents supported improved flood prevention measures.	Yes - either public works or private funding linked to S106 agreements	Yes - NPPF Paras 155-165 and WC Core Strategy Policy 67	Public investment limited, private investment possible through planning conditions and s106 agreements		
Permanent flood defences at Castle Meadow	85% of respondents supported improved flood prevention measures.	Yes - either public works or private funding linked to S106 agreements	Yes - NPPF Paras 155-165 and WC Core Strategy Policy 68	Public funding likely	EA have developed a costed scheme that WTC has opposed	
Riparian owners responsibilities land drainage, run-off, ditches, gullies, etc.	84% of respondents supported provision of facilities for young people	Only insofar as flood risk may be a barrier to new development	Yes - NPPF Paras 155-165 and WC Core Strategy Policy 69	Some riparian owners have been reluctant to undertake works.		
Public drainage responsibilities - carriageway drainage	84% of respondents supported provision of facilities for young people	Only insofar as flood risk may be a barrier to new development	Yes - NPPF Paras 155-165 and WC Core Strategy Policy 70	Reactive work by WC is satisfactory, but not solving the long-term problem.		
Urban drainage and sewage improvements	84% of respondents supported provision of facilities for young people	Only insofar as flood risk may be a barrier to new development	Yes - NPPF Paras 155-165 and WC Core Strategy Policy 71	Public funding unlikely. Some improvements may be facilitated through s106 agreemnts linked to new development.		
Statutory river and watercourse management	84% of respondents supported provision of facilities for young people	Yes if this involves works.	Yes - NPPF Paras 155-165 and WC Core Strategy Policy 72	Proactive watercourse amd watermeadow management by statutory bodies		

# Health and wellbeing

Option	Evidence	NP Issue	Conformity	Practicality	Comments	Flag
Nhat is needed to address	<i>Is there evidence from the</i>	Is it a land use or planning	Does the option conform to	How feasible is the option, how	Any other comments	Acceptable Possibly
community priorities?	survey supporting the proposal?	issue?	local or national planning policy?	likely is it to be delivered?		acceptable Not- accetable
Retention of existing health	81% of respondents	Assets of community value	NPPF Para 92 (b) and (c) and WC	Public investment		
serices - dentist, GP Surgery, health centre.		identified, planning policy written to encourage retention of health uses.	Core Strategy Policy 33	limited,decisions rest the NHS		
Growith of the voluntary and	81% of respondenents	No	NPPF Para 92 (b) and (c) and WC	Identify local needs and identify		
charity sector services			Core Strategy Policy 33	funding to support provision		
Childrens play and after school	81% of respondenents	Yes - Sites, equipment and		R2 funding available for outdoor		
activities		permissions	Core Strategy Policy 33	play facilities		
mproved diabled access	81% of respondenents	Yes - new developments may	NPPF Para 92 (b) and (c) and WC	CATG funding for priority		
ncluding toilets		facilitate improvements	Core Strategy Policy 33	schemes		
More disabled parking spaces	81% of respondenents	No	NPPF Para 92 (b) and (c) and WC			
			Core Strategy Policy 33	funding		
Access for emergency vehicles	81% of respondenents	No	NPPF Para 92 (b) and (c) and WC			
			Core Strategy Policy 33	waiting/parking restrictions and		
				enforcement.		
Tackling air quality	81% of respondenents	Yes - highway schemes such as	NPPF Para 92 (b) and (c) and WC	Highway infrastructure		
		one-way streets, new roads	Core Strategy Policy 33	improvements unlikely.		
Encouraging cycling and walking	81% of respondenents	Yes - routes sought through	NPPF Para 92 (b) and (c) and WC			
		planing conditions and S106	Core Strategy Policy 33	achievable - linking to funding		
		agreements		more problematic		
Tackling dog fouling	81% of respondenents	No	NPPF Para 92 (b) and (c) and WC	Bins, signage, enforcementand		
			Core Strategy Policy 33	and education.		
Dementia Café	81% of respondenents	No - existing venue to be used	NPPF Para 92 (b) and (c) and WC	Volunteer-led - funding to be		
			Core Strategy Policy 33	identi.		
Men's Shed - tackling lonliness	81% of respondenents	Yes - planning permission	NPPF Para 92 (b) and (c) and WC	Funding in place		
and isolation		required	Core Strategy Policy 33			
Activities for older people	81% of respondenents	Walks, fitness classes,	NPPF Para 92 (b) and (c) and WC	Volunteer-led - funding to be		
		community groups would not	Core Strategy Policy 33	identified.		
		require planning				
Defibrillators and First	81% of respondenents	No	NPPF Para 92 (b) and (c) and WC	Volunteer-led - funding to be		
Responders schemes			Core Strategy Policy 33	identified.		
Community programmes linked	81% of respondenents	No	NPPF Para 92 (b) and (c) and WC	NHS/WC and WTC partnership	see Frome TC example	
o social prescribing scheme			Core Strategy Policy 33	scheme.		

### Ch . : .

Shopping						
<b>Option</b> What is needed to address community priorities?	<b>Evidence</b> <i>Is there evidence from the</i> <i>survey supporting the proposal?</i>	<b>NP Issue</b> Is it a land use or planning issue?	<b>Conformity</b> Does the option conform to local or national planning policy?	<b>Practicality</b> How feasible is the option, how likely is it to be delivered?	<b>Comments</b> Any other comments	Flag Acceptable Possibly acceptable Not- accetable
New Supermarket	83% of respondents supported an improved shopping offer	Yes - site and infrastructure, impact assessments, EIA, drainage and off-site improvements.	NPPF Para 92 (d) and WC Core Strategy Policy 33	Private sector investment, land sale, site identification.		
Renewal of Wilton Shopping Village	83% of respondents supported an improved shopping offer	Yes - planning policies to encourage development and diversification.	NPPF Para 92 (d) and WC Core Strategy Policy 33	Identify local needs and identify funding to support provision		
Improved pedestrian links between Wilton Shopping Village and North Street	83% of respondents supported an improved shopping offer	Yes - preferred route and design details (entrance signage, etc)	NPPF Para 92 (d) and WC Core Strategy Policy 33	Route acceptable to local residents		
Expand Wilton Outdoor Market	83% of respondents supported an improved shopping offer	Yes - if infrastructure needed	NPPF Para 92 (d) and WC Core Strategy Policy 33	Management of the market will transfer to WTC in 2020/21		
Protect and encourage independent traders	83% of respondents supported an improved shopping offer	Yes - planning policies to resist change of use to residential	NPPF Para 92 (d) and WC Core Strategy Policy 33	Developing policies conmforming to NPPF and WC Core Strategy		
Encouraging diversity of offer in the Town Centre	83% of respondents supported an improved shopping offer	Yes - planning policies directing the range of acceptable uses that may be permitted or resisted.	NPPF Para 92 (d) and WC Core Strategy Policy 33	Developing policies conmforming to NPPF and WC Core Strategy		
Retain Post Office	83% of respondents supported an improved shopping offer	No - commercial decision	NPPF Para 92 (d) and WC Core Strategy Policy 33	Commercial decision		
Improved car parking arrangements for shoppers	83% of respondents supported an improved shopping offer	Yes - if new car parking spaces to be provided	NPPF Para 92 (d) and WC Core Strategy Policy 33	Significant public investment and land supply issues.		
Outside ATM machine	83% of respondents supported an improved shopping offer	Yes, depending on location.	NPPF Para 92 (d) and WC Core Strategy Policy 33	Working with local traders to identify a suitable site		
Indoor market or local co-op of local artisans and makers	83% of respondents supported an improved shopping offer	Yes - site, use-class and parking arrangements	NPPF Para 92 (d) and WC Core Strategy Policy 33	Identifying suitable unit - possibly at the Wilton Shopping Village		
Events to attract shoppers	83% of respondents supported an improved shopping offer	No	NPPF Para 92 (d) and WC Core Strategy Policy 33	A programme of events could be arrangeed - Town Team role?		

#### \_ .

Option	Evidence	NP Issue	Conformity	Practicality	Comments	Flag
What is needed to address community priorities?	-	Is it a land use or planning issue?	Does the option conform to local or national planning policy?	How feasible is the option, how likely is it to be delivered?	Any other comments	Acceptable Possibly acceptable Not- accetable
Community hub - advice and service centre	62% suported the creation of a community advice/contact/ service/information centre.	Land or site allocated, infrastructure provision.	NPPF Ch.8 and WC Core Strategy Policy 33	High Investment, public sector- led project, possibly a combined heritage, arts, library and community hub	Old Police Station	
Community use of Fugglestone Church	83% of respondents supported an improved shopping offer	Yes - planning policies to encourage development and diversification.	NPPF Ch.8 and WC Core Strategy Policy 33	Identify local needs and identify funding to support provision		
Community Farm, eco-park and Nature Centre	91% supported the protection of wildlife habitats and biodiversity. 66% supported community gardens/orchards.	Yes - allocating sites (Sheep Fair Field)	NPPF Ch.8 and WC Core Strategy Policy 33	Land ownership issues	Sheep Fair Field	
Expand Castle Meadow Pavilion	62% support for improved community meeting spaces	Yes - planning and parking	NPPF Ch.8 and WC Core Strategy Policy 33	Funding required		
Better marketing of community facilities - booklet/website.		No	NPPF Ch.8 and WC Core Strategy Policy 33	Volunteer-led - Town Team?		

### **Schools and Education**

<b>Option</b> What is needed to address community priorities?	<b>Evidence</b> Is there evidence from the survey supporting the proposal?	<b>NP Issue</b> Is it a land use or planning issue?	<b>Conformity</b> Does the option conform to local or national planning policy?	<b>Practicality</b> How feasible is the option, how likely is it to be delivered?	<b>Comments</b> Any other comments	Flag Acceptable Possibly acceptable Not- accetable
Additional school places to meet demand	69% suported improved school facilities	Yes - Land or site allocated, infrastructure provision. Condition of further planning approvals - S106.	NPPF Para 94 and WC Core Strategy Polcy 6.65	Public investment S106 provision - based on the additional housing predicted in this plan.		
Improved school drop-off arrangements - pedestrian safety	69% suported improved school facilities	Yes - parking arrangements, highway scheme	NPPF Para 94 and WC Core Strategy Polcy 6.65	CATG funding		
Pre School and Nursery Facilities	69% suported improved school facilities	Yes - Land or site allocated, infrastructure provision.	NPPF Para 94 and WC Core Strategy Polcy 6.65	Demand-led commercial provision		
Family support (sure-start replacement service)	69% suported improved school facilities	Yes - Land or site allocated, infrastructure provision.	NPPF Para 94 and WC Core Strategy Polcy 6.65	Significant public investment and ongoing funding.		
School Facilities (used by public but of school hours)	69% suported improved school facilities	No	NPPF Para 94 and WC Core Strategy Polcy 6.65	Agreement with local schools.		
Employment Advice Centre targeted at young people)	69% suported improved school facilities	No	NPPF Para 94 and WC Core Strategy Polcy 6.65	Liason with local schools and business chambers	Community Hub	
Local Apprenticeship scheme	69% suported improved school facilities	No	NPPF Para 94 and WC Core Strategy Polcy 6.65	Liaison with local employers to secure local apprenticeships for Wilton kids		

# Activities and facilities for young people

Option	Evidence	NP Issue	Conformity	Practicality	Comments	Flag
What is needed to address community priorities?	<i>Is there evidence from the survey supporting the proposal?</i>	<i>Is it a land use or planning issue?</i>	Does the option conform to local or national planning policy?	How feasible is the option, how likely is it to be delivered?	Any other comments	Acceptable Possibly acceptable Not- accetable
Youth Club	84% of respondents supported improved facilities and activities for young people	Yes - depending upon location	NPPF Ch.8, WCS Policy 1 & 33	Responsibility, safeguarding and running costs		
Skatepark, BMX Facilities		Linked to new development - Section 106 funding.	NPPF Ch.8 and WC Core Strategy Policy 33 and 52	High Investment, community- led. Possible S106 funding project.		
Actvities Directory for YP	84% of respondents supported provision of facilities for young people	No	NPPF Ch.8 and WC Core Strategy Policy 33 and 53	A directory of clubs and groups for young people could be pulled together.		
Employment Advice Centre (targeted at young people)	84% of respondents supported provision of facilities for young people	No	NPPF Ch.8 and WC Core Strategy Policy 33 and 53	Liason with local schools and business chambers		
Soft Play Area	84% of respondents supported provision of facilities for young people	Yes	NPPF Ch.8 and WC Core Strategy Policy 33 and 54	Location and funding - commercially-led.		
More youth sports teams	84% of respondents supported provision of facilities for young people	No	NPPF Ch.8 and WC Core Strategy Policy 33 and 55	Clubs, sports coaches and volunteers required		
More activities for young children	84% of respondents supported provision of facilities for young people	Depending on location and use of existing buidlings	NPPF Ch.8 and WC Core Strategy Policy 33 and 56	Public funding required to kickstart sports provision - holiday camps, etc.		
Exciting play spaces for children	84% of respondents supported provision of facilities for young people	Depending on location and use of existing buidlings	NPPF Ch.8 and WC Core Strategy Policy 33 and 57	Public funding required, significant cost.		
Nursery Facilities	84% of respondents supported provision of facilities for young people	Possibly	NPPF Ch.8 and WC Core Strategy Policy 33 and 58	Commecial, demand-led.		